(32)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

S.B. : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION W.P.(C) No. 1530 of 2007

PETITIONER : 1. Chetan Singh, aged about 45 years, Son of Shri Tirith Ram, Resident of Village Jaitpuri, Tahsil Kawardha, District Rajnandgaon, Now District Kabirdham, (C.G.).

2. Kumar, aged about 45 years, Son of Shri Ghanshyam, Resident of Village Jaitpuri, Tahsil Kawardha, District Rajnandgaon, Now District Kabirdham, (C.G.).

3. Kirtan Singh, aged about 50 years, Son of Shri Rukuram, Resident of Village Jaitpuri, Tahsil Kawardha, District Rajnandgaon, Now District Kabirdham, (C.G.).

4. Rohit Kumar, aged about 40 years, Son of Shri Rukuram, Resident of Village Jaitpuri, Tahsil Kawardha, District Rajnandgon, Now District Kabirdham, (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. Girwar, Son of Garib;
2. Ramdas;

3. Chatur;
4. Janak;
5. Baliram;
6. Goverdhan;
7. Chandersing;
8. Santuram;
9. Ghasiram;
10. Ratiram;
11. Bahel;
12. Radhe;
13. Baltu;
14. Sahettar;
15. Balsakhu;
16. Ghopat;
17. Ghisalu;

All residents of Village Jaitpur, P.H. NO. 14, R.I. Circle, Dashrangpur, Tahsil Kawardha, District Rajnandgaon, Now District Kabirdham (C.G.).

Present: Shri Sushil Dubey Advocate for the petitioners.
None for the respondents.

ORDER
(Passed on 16th March, 2007)

1. By this petition, filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner challenges the validity of the impugned order dated 09.07.1987 (Annexure P/1), confirming the order

dated 19.05.1986 (Annexure P/3), passed by the Additional Collector, Rajnandgaon.

2. This petition has been filed on 28.02.2007 after a delay of 20 years, without stating any reason for the inordinate delay and further without filing any application for condonation of delay. This petition, at this stage, cannot be entertained as the same is hopelessly bared by time. In absence of any sufficient justified reason for delay of 20 years, this Court may not be justified in entertaining this petition in exercise of its supervisory jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

3. The petition is according dismissed as not maintainable on the ground of delay in filing the petition. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Ar it